

Title	On Construction of Continuous Functions with Cusp Singularities (Harmonic, Analytic function spaces and Linear Operators, II)
Author(s)	Watanabe, Hidenori
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2002), 1277: 1-16
Issue Date	2002-07
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/42312
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

On Construction of Continuous Functions with Cusp Singularities

北大・理 渡部 英憲 (Hidenori Watanabe)

Faculty of Science,

Hokkaido Univ.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study various constructions of continuous functions on \mathbf{R} which have the prescribed cusp singularities at each point. As applications, we get a generalization of the result given in our previous paper [7], which discuss the cusp singularities of the classical Weierstrass functions.

Let s be a positive number, which is not an integer and let x_0 be a point in \mathbf{R}^n . Then a function f on \mathbf{R}^n belongs to the pointwise Hölder space $C^s(x_0)$, if there exists a polynomial P of degree less than s such that

$$|f(x) - P(x - x_0)| \leq C|x - x_0|^s$$

in a neighborhood of x_0 . The pointwise Hölder exponent of a function f at a point x_0 in \mathbf{R}^n is defined as

$$H(f, x_0) = \sup \{s > 0; f \in C^s(x_0)\}.$$

If a continuous function f does not belong to $C^s(x_0)$ for every $s > 0$, then $H(f, x_0) = 0$.

However the pointwise Hölder exponent of a function f at a point x_0 in \mathbf{R}^n is not stable under the pseudo-differential operators. Similarly it does not fully characterize the oscillatory behavior on a neighborhood of x_0 . This implies that $f \in C^s(x_0)$ cannot be characterized by size estimates on the wavelet coefficients of f .

Here let us recall the definition of the weak scaling exponent characterizing the local oscillatory behavior.

$\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ denotes the closed subspace of the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} x^\alpha \psi(x) dx = 0$$

for every multi-index α in \mathbf{Z}_+^n . Then a tempered distribution f belongs to $\Gamma^s(x_0)$, if for every ψ in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists a constant $C(\psi)$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) \frac{1}{a^n} \psi\left(\frac{x-x_0}{a}\right) dx \right| \leq C(\psi) a^s, \quad 0 < a \leq 1.$$

The weak scaling exponent of a function f at a point x_0 in \mathbf{R}^n is defined as

$$\beta(f, x_0) = \sup \{s \in \mathbf{R}; f \text{ locally belongs to } \Gamma^s(x_0)\}.$$

Since it is known that the pointwise Hölder space $C^s(x_0)$ is contained in local $\Gamma^s(x_0)$, it is obvious that

$$H(f, x_0) \leq \beta(f, x_0).$$

Now we recall the definition of the two-microlocal spaces $C_{x_0}^{s,s'}$, which characterize this weak scaling exponent.

Let φ be a function in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{on } |\xi| \geq 1 \end{cases},$$

where $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of φ . For every non-negative integer j , we define the convolution operator $S_j(f) = f * \varphi_{\frac{1}{2^j}}$ where $\varphi_a(x) = \frac{1}{a^n} \varphi(\frac{x}{a})$, and the difference operator $\Delta_j = S_{j+1} - S_j$. Then

$$I = S_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta_j.$$

Let $\psi = \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}} - \varphi$. Then $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and

$$\Delta_j(f) = f * \psi_{\frac{1}{2^j}}.$$

Let s and s' be two real numbers and x_0 a point in \mathbf{R}^n . Then a tempered distribution f belongs to the two-microlocal spaces $C_{x_0}^{s,s'}$, if there exists a constant C such that

$$|S_0(f)(x)| \leq C(1 + |x - x_0|)^{-s'}$$

and

$$|\Delta_j(f)(x)| \leq C 2^{-js} (1 + 2^j |x - x_0|)^{-s'}$$

for every $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

The following remarkable theorems with respect to the two-microlocal spaces $C_{x_0}^{s,s'}$ and $\Gamma^s(x_0)$ were given in [5].

Theorem A [5, Theorem 1.8.]. *Let s and s' be two real numbers and x_0 a point in \mathbf{R}^n and let us assume two positive integers r and N satisfying*

$$r + s + \inf(s', n) > 0$$

and

$$N > \sup(s, s + s').$$

Let ψ be a function such that

$$|\partial^\alpha \psi(x)| \leq \frac{C(q)}{(1 + |x|)^q}, \quad |\alpha| \leq r, \quad q \geq 1$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} x^\beta \psi(x) dx = 0, \quad |\beta| \leq N - 1.$$

If a function or a distribution f belongs to the two-microlocal spaces $C_{x_0}^{s,s'}$, then we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) \frac{1}{a^n} \overline{\psi\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right)} dx \right| \leq C a^s \left(1 + \frac{|b-x_0|}{a}\right)^{-s'}, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad |b-x_0| \leq 1.$$

Theorem B [5, Theorem 1.2.]. *Let s be a real number and let f be a function or a distribution defined on a neighborhood V of x_0 .*

Then f locally belongs to $\Gamma^s(x_0)$ if and only if f locally belongs to the two-microlocal spaces $C_{x_0}^{s,s'}$ for some s' .

Several scientists have been interested in constructing irregular functions. The well-known example is the Weierstrass function [8]. It is an example of a nowhere differentiable continuous function. Hardy gave better estimates of the regularities for the Weierstrass function

$$\mathcal{W}_c(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \cos(b^n \pi x) \tag{1}$$

and its sine series

$$\mathcal{W}_s(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \sin(b^n \pi x), \tag{2}$$

where $0 < a < 1$, $b > 1$ and $ab \geq 1$ [3]. He proved that these functions do not possess finite derivatives at each point x and showed more precisely that if $ab > 1$ and $\xi = \frac{\log(\frac{1}{a})}{\log b}$, then these functions satisfy

$$\mathcal{W}_c(x+h) - \mathcal{W}_c(x) = O(|h|^\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_s(x+h) - \mathcal{W}_s(x) = O(|h|^\xi)$$

for each x , but satisfy neither

$$\mathcal{W}_c(x+h) - \mathcal{W}_c(x) = o(|h|^\xi) \quad \text{nor} \quad \mathcal{W}_s(x+h) - \mathcal{W}_s(x) = o(|h|^\xi)$$

for any x .

Next let us recall the definition of the Takagi function [6]. Let θ^* be the 1-periodic function such that

$$\theta^*(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1-x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then the Takagi function is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^*(2^n x)}{2^n}.$$

It is another example of a nowhere differentiable continuous function.

Using the scaling exponents, Meyer defined two types of singularities of functions as follows [5]: a point x_0 in \mathbf{R}^n is called a cusp singularity of a function f , when

$$H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) < \infty,$$

while a point x_0 in \mathbf{R}^n is called an oscillating singularity of a function f , when

$$H(f, x_0) < \beta(f, x_0).$$

When a point x_0 is a cusp singularity of a function f , the pointwise Hölder exponent can be found by computing the size estimates on the wavelet coefficients of f inside the influence cone. Using this fact, we construct continuous functions which have a prescribed cusp singularity at each point x_0 in \mathbf{R} .

Daoudi and his team [2] studied the following problem which was raised by Lévy Véhel:

Let s be a function from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$. Under what conditions on s does there exist a continuous function f from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbf{R} such that $H(f, x) = s(x)$ for all x in $[0, 1]$?

They solved the problem as follows: "For a function s from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$, there exist a continuous function f on $[0, 1]$ such that $H(f, x) = s(x)$ for all x in $[0, 1]$ if and only if s is a function which can be represented as a limit inferior of a sequence of continuous functions

on $[0, 1]$." Further, they constructed such f by various methods, - as the Weierstrass type function, using Schauder bases and using Iterated Function System.

On the other hand, Andersson [1] proved a similar characterization for a function s from \mathbf{R} to $[0, \infty]$ and constructed f satisfying $H(f, x) = s(x)$ for all x in \mathbf{R} by a method using orthogonal wavelets.

In the rest of the paper we study, for a given function on \mathbf{R} , various constructions of a function f satisfying

$$H(f, x) = \beta(f, x) = s(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

using orthonormal wavelets in Section 2 and as the Weierstrass type function in Section 3.

2 Construction Using Orthonormal Wavelets

In this section, using orthonormal wavelets, we construct a continuous function which has a prescribed cusp singularity at each point in \mathbf{R} .

The following Lemma 1 is used in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

Lemma 1. *Let s be a function from \mathbf{R} to $[0, \infty]$, which is the lower limit of a sequence of real continuous functions $\{t_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{N}}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{s_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ of infinitely differentiable non-negative functions with compact supports such that*

$$(i) \quad s(x) = \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_l(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

(ii) *For each x_0 in \mathbf{R} , there exists a positive integer l_0 such that*

$$s_l(x) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{l+1}}, \quad l \geq l_0, \quad |x - x_0| \leq 1.$$

(iii) *There exists a sequence $\{C_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |s_l^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_k l^{k+1}, \quad l \in \mathbf{Z}_+,$$

where $s_l^{(k)}$ is the k -th derivative of s_l .

Proof. Let η be a non-negative infinitely differentiable function supported on $[-1, 1]$ satisfying $\eta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq \frac{1}{4}$, $\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \eta(x) = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \eta(x) dx = 1$. If we put

$$\tilde{t}_l(x) = \eta\left(\frac{x}{l}\right) \min\left(\max\left(t_l(x), \frac{1}{\sqrt{l+1}}\right), l\right), \quad l \in \mathbf{N},$$

it is easy to see that $\{\tilde{t}_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{N}}$ satisfies

$$\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{t}_l(x) = s(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$\tilde{t}_l(x) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{l+1}}, \quad |x| \leq \frac{l}{4},$$

$$\tilde{t}_l(x) = 0, \quad |x| \geq l$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \tilde{t}_l(x) \leq l.$$

Since each \tilde{t}_l is uniformly continuous, we can choose a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $\{p_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that

$$\sup_{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{p_l}} |\tilde{t}_l(x) - \tilde{t}_l(y)| \leq \frac{1}{l}, \quad l \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Under these circumstances, we define $s_l(x)$ for $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$ by

$$s_l(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq l < p_1 \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_m \eta(p_m(x-y)) \tilde{t}_m(y) dy & \text{if } p_m \leq l < p_{m+1}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N}. \end{cases}$$

If we put $C_k = \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\eta^{(k)}(x)| dx$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, then $\{s_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ satisfies the required properties (i), (ii) and (iii). To prove (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |s_l(x) - \tilde{t}_m(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_m \eta(p_m(x-y)) (\tilde{t}_m(y) - \tilde{t}_m(x)) dy \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{p_m}} |\tilde{t}_m(y) - \tilde{t}_m(x)| \int_{\mathbf{R}} \eta(y) dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m}, \quad p_m \leq l < p_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired result. To prove (ii) we choose $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\frac{m_0}{4} - \frac{1}{m_0} \geq |x_0| + 1$ and put $l_0 = p_{m_0}$. For a positive integer $l \geq l_0$, choose $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $p_m \leq l < p_{m+1}$. Then if $|x - x_0| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_l(x) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_m \eta(p_m(x-y)) \tilde{t}_m(y) dy \\ &\geq \inf_{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{p_m}} \tilde{t}_m(y) \int_{\mathbf{R}} \eta(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \inf_{|y| \leq |x_0| + 1 + \frac{1}{m}} \tilde{t}_m(y) \\
&\geq \inf_{|y| \leq \frac{m}{4}} \tilde{t}_m(y) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{l+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (iii) we choose $m \in \mathbf{N}$, for a given $l \in \mathbf{N}$, such that $p_m \leq l < p_{m+1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|s_l^{(k)}(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_m^{k+1} \eta^{(k)}(p_m(x-y)) \tilde{t}_m(y) dy \right| \\
&\leq p_m^k \sup_{|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{p_m}} \tilde{t}_m(y) \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\eta^{(k)}(y)| dy \\
&\leq C_k m p_m^k \leq C_k l^{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 1. *Let s be a function from \mathbf{R} to $[0, \infty]$, which is the lower limit of a sequence of continuous functions. Then there exists a sequence $\{s_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ of differentiable functions such that*

$$s(x) = \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_l(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |s'_l(x)| \leq C_1 l^2, \quad l \in \mathbf{Z}_+. \quad (4)$$

Let ψ be an orthonormal wavelet in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$. If we define a continuous function f by

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c(l, m) \psi(2^l x - m),$$

where

$$c(l, m) = \min(2^{-ls_l(\frac{m}{2^l})}, 2^{-\frac{l}{\log l}}),$$

then we have

$$H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$$

at each point x_0 in \mathbf{R} .

Proof. The existence of $\{s_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ satisfying (3) and (4) follows from Lemma 1. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x-y| \leq 2^{-\frac{j}{(\log j)^2}}} |s_j(x) - s_j(y)| &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |s'_j(x)| \sup_{|x-y| \leq 2^{-\frac{j}{(\log j)^2}}} |x-y| \\ &\leq C_1 \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^2 2^{-\frac{j}{(\log j)^2}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$H(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$ at each point $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ (cf. [1] p.441, proof of Theorem 1.). We only need to compute the value of $\beta(f, x_0)$.

Let us assume f locally belongs to $\Gamma^s(x_0)$. Then by Theorem B, f locally belongs to $C_{x_0}^{s, s'}$ for some $s' < 0$. On the other hand, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R})$ (cf. [4, 2. Corollary 3.7.]). By Theorem A, there exist two constants $C \in (0, \infty)$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$\left| \int f(x) \frac{1}{a} \overline{\psi\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right)} dx \right| \leq C a^s \left(1 + \frac{|b-x_0|}{a}\right)^{-s'}, \quad 0 < a \leq \delta, \quad |b-x_0| \leq \delta. \quad (5)$$

Let j_0 be a positive integer such that $\frac{1}{2^{j_0}} \leq \delta$. For every $j \geq j_0$, there exists $k_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\frac{k_j}{2^j} \leq x_0 < \frac{k_j+1}{2^j}$ and we define a_j and b_j by $a_j = \frac{1}{2^j}$ and $b_j = \frac{k_j}{2^j}$. Then $|b_j - x_0| \leq a_j$ and by (5), we have

$$\left| \int f(x) 2^j \overline{\psi(2^j x - k_j)} dx \right| \leq \frac{C 2^{-s'}}{2^{js}}, \quad j \geq j_0. \quad (6)$$

We estimate the left hand side of (6) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f(x) 2^j \overline{\psi(2^j x - k_j)} dx \right| &= \left| \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c(l, m) \int \psi(2^l x - m) 2^j \overline{\psi(2^j x - k_j)} dx \right| \\ &= c(j, k_j). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By (6) and (7), $f \in \Gamma^s(x_0)$ implies

$$c(j, k_j) = \min(2^{-js_j(\frac{k_j}{2^j})}, 2^{-\frac{j}{\log j}}) \leq \frac{C 2^{-s'}}{2^{js}}, \quad j \geq j_0. \quad (8)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| s_j\left(\frac{k_j}{2^j}\right) - s_j(x_0) \right| &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |s'_j(x)| \left(x_0 - \frac{k_j}{2^j}\right) \\ &\leq C_1 \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j^2}{2^j} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \max \left(s_j \left(\frac{k_j}{2^j} \right), \frac{1}{\log j} \right) \\
&= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j \left(\frac{k_j}{2^j} \right) \\
&= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j(x_0) + \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(s_j \left(\frac{k_j}{2^j} \right) - s_j(x_0) \right) \\
&= s(x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\beta(f, x_0) \leq s(x_0) = H(f, x_0)$. Since $H(f, x_0) \leq \beta(f, x_0)$ is trivial, we have $H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$. ■

3 Use of Weierstrass Type Functions

In this section, we construct the Weierstrass type continuous function which has a prescribed cusp singularity at each point in \mathbf{R} .

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $s \in [0, \infty]$, $l_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\{s_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \subset \mathbf{R}$ be such that*

- (a) $\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_l = s$,
- (b) $s_l \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{l+1}}$, $l \geq l_0$.

Suppose $\lambda > 1$ and $\{\theta_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \subset \mathbf{R}$ are chosen arbitrary.

(i) *If $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\{\alpha_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathbf{R} and if we define a continuous function f by*

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_l l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

then we have

$$H(f, x_0) \geq s$$

at each point x_0 in \mathbf{R} .

(ii) *If we define a continuous function g by*

$$g(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

then we have

$$H(g, x_0) = \beta(g, x_0) = s$$

at each point x_0 in \mathbf{R} .

Proof. (i) By (b), f is a continuous function on \mathbf{R} and hence we have only to show (i) when $s > 0$.

Let $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ be fixed arbitrary.

First, we consider the case $0 < s \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, s)$ be arbitrary. By (a), we can choose $l_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that $s_l > s - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for $l \geq l_0$ and we put $f_1(x) = \sum_{l=l_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_l l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l)$. To show $H(f, x_0) \geq s - \varepsilon$, it suffices to show $f_1 \in C^{s-\varepsilon}(x_0)$ since $H(f - f_1, x_0) = \infty$ is obvious. Let x be a real number such that $|x - x_0| < \frac{1}{\lambda^{l_0}}$ and choose $N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that $\frac{1}{\lambda^{N+1}} \leq |x - x_0| < \frac{1}{\lambda^N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(x) - f_1(x_0)| &= \left| \sum_{l=l_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_l l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} (\sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) - \sin(\lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l)) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{l=l_0}^{N-1} \frac{\alpha_l l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} (\sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) - \sin(\lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l)) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{l=N}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_l l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} (\sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) - \sin(\lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l)) \right| \\ &= A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Observe first that there exists a constant $M_1 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|\alpha_l| l^m \leq M_1 \lambda^{\frac{ls_l}{2}}, \quad l \geq l_0. \tag{10}$$

To estimate A_1 and A_2 we use (10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq 2 \sum_{l=l_0}^{N-1} \frac{|\alpha_l| l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \left| \cos \left(\frac{\lambda^l(x + x_0)}{2} + \theta_l \right) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda^l(x - x_0)}{2} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=l_0}^{N-1} |\alpha_l| l^m \lambda^{l(1-s_l)} |x - x_0| \\ &\leq M_1 \sum_{l=l_0}^{N-1} \lambda^{l(1-s+\varepsilon)} |x - x_0| \\ &= \frac{M_1 \lambda^{l_0(1-s+\varepsilon)} (\lambda^{(N-l_0)(1-s+\varepsilon)} - 1)}{\lambda^{1-s+\varepsilon} - 1} |x - x_0| \\ &\leq \frac{M_1 \lambda^{N(1-s+\varepsilon)}}{\lambda^{1-s+\varepsilon} - 1} |x - x_0| \\ &\leq \frac{M_1}{\lambda^{1-s+\varepsilon} - 1} |x - x_0|^{s-\varepsilon}, \\ A_2 &\leq 2 \sum_{l=N}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha_l| l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \left| \cos \left(\frac{\lambda^l(x + x_0)}{2} + \theta_l \right) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda^l(x - x_0)}{2} \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \sum_{l=N}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha_l| l^m}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \\
&\leq 2M_1 \sum_{l=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{l(s-\varepsilon)}} \\
&= \frac{\frac{2M_1}{\lambda^{N(s-\varepsilon)}}}{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^{s-\varepsilon}}} \\
&\leq \frac{2M_1 \lambda^{2(s-\varepsilon)}}{\lambda^{s-\varepsilon} - 1} |x - x_0|^{s-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for A_1 and A_2 with (9) show that there exists a constant $M_2 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|f_1(x) - f_1(x_0)| \leq M_2 |x - x_0|^{s-\varepsilon}, \quad |x - x_0| < \frac{1}{\lambda^{l_0}}.$$

Thus $H(f_1, x_0) \geq s - \varepsilon$ and hence $H(f, x_0) \geq s - \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $H(f, x_0) \geq s$.

Next, we consider the case $n < s \leq n + 1$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. In this case, f is n -times continuously differentiable on \mathbf{R} and we have

$$f^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_l l^m}{\lambda^{l(s_l-n)}} \sin \left(\lambda^l x + \theta_l + \frac{n\pi}{2} \right).$$

Thus $H(f^{(n)}, x_0) \geq s - n$ by an argument similar to the case where $0 < s \leq 1$ and hence $H(f, x_0) \geq s$ holds even for $1 < s < \infty$.

Finally, we consider the case $s = \infty$. In this case, f is obviously infinitely differentiable at x_0 and hence $H(f, x_0) = \infty$.

(ii) $H(g, x_0) \geq s$ follows from (i), if we put $\alpha_l = 1$ for $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $m = 0$ in (i).

For $\beta(g, x_0)$, let us assume g locally belongs to $\Gamma^\rho(x_0)$. Let ψ be a function in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi - 1| \geq \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}$ and $\hat{\psi}(1) = 2$. Then there exist two constants $M_3 \in (0, \infty)$ and $\eta \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\left| \int g(x) \frac{1}{a} \psi \left(\frac{x - x_0}{a} \right) dx \right| \leq M_3 a^\rho, \quad 0 < a \leq \eta. \quad (11)$$

Let j_0 be a non-negative integer such that $\frac{1}{\lambda^{j_0}} \leq \eta$. For every $j \geq j_0$, we put $a_j = \frac{1}{\lambda^j}$. By (11), we have

$$\left| \int g(x) \lambda^j \psi(\lambda^j(x - x_0)) dx \right| \leq \frac{M_3}{\lambda^{j\rho}}, \quad j \geq j_0. \quad (12)$$

We estimate the left hand side of (12) as follows:

$$\left| \int g(x) \lambda^j \psi(\lambda^j(x - x_0)) dx \right| = \left| \int \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \sin(\lambda^{l-j}x + \lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l) \psi(x) dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l}} \int \frac{e^{i(\lambda^{l-j}x + \lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l)} - e^{-i(\lambda^{l-j}x + \lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l)}}{2i} \psi(x) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i(\lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l)} \hat{\psi}(-\lambda^{l-j}) - e^{-i(\lambda^l x_0 + \theta_l)} \hat{\psi}(\lambda^{l-j})}{2i\lambda^{ls_l}} \right| \\
&= \frac{|\hat{\psi}(1)|}{2\lambda^{js_j}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^{js_j}}. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

By (12) and (13), $g \in \Gamma^\rho(x_0)$ implies $\frac{1}{\lambda^{js_j}} \leq \frac{M_3}{\lambda^{j\rho}}$ for every $j \geq j_0$ and hence $\rho \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_j = s \leq H(g, x_0)$. Therefore $\beta(g, x_0) \leq s \leq H(g, x_0)$. Since $H(g, x_0) \leq \beta(g, x_0)$ is trivial, we have $H(g, x_0) = \beta(g, x_0) = s$. \blacksquare

Theorem 2. Let s be a function from \mathbf{R} to $[0, \infty]$, which is the lower limit of a sequence of continuous functions and let $\{s_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ be a sequence of continuous functions satisfying part (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 1.

Suppose $\lambda > 1$ and $\{\theta_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \subset \mathbf{R}$ are chosen arbitrary. If we define a continuous function f by

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x)}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l),$$

then we have

$$H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$$

at each point x_0 in \mathbf{R} .

Proof. First, we consider the case $n \leq s(x_0) < n+1$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. Using the Taylor expansion we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x)}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x_0)}} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x)}} \Big|_{x=x_0} (x - x_0)^j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x)}} \Big|_{x=\xi_l} (x - x_0)^{n+1}, \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_l \in (\min(x, x_0), \max(x, x_0))$. It goes without saying that if $n = 0$ the second term in the right hand side of (14) does not appear. By (14), we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x)}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) + f_3(x), \tag{15}$$

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x_0)}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l), \quad (16)$$

$$f_2(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left. \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x)}} \right|_{x=x_0} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) (x - x_0)^j \quad (17)$$

and

$$f_3(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{n+1}}{dx^{n+1}} \left. \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls_l(x)}} \right|_{x=\xi_l} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) (x - x_0)^{n+1}, \quad (18)$$

where $\xi_l \in (\min(x, x_0), \max(x, x_0))$.

By part (ii) of Lemma 2, $H(f_1, x_0) = \beta(f_1, x_0) = s(x_0)$ follows at once. f_2 does not appear if $n = 0$, and if $n \geq 1$ we have

$$f_2(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{(*)_j} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{(-\log \lambda)^{kl^k} \alpha_{j,i_1,\dots,i_k} s_l^{(i_1)}(x_0) \dots s_l^{(i_k)}(x_0)}{\lambda^{ls_l(x_0)}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) (x - x_0)^j, \quad (19)$$

where $\sum_{(*)_j}$ mean the summation under the condition $i_1 + \dots + i_k = j$ with $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ and $\{\alpha_{j,i_1,\dots,i_k}\}$ are positive integers satisfying $\sum_{(*)_j} \alpha_{j,i_1,\dots,i_k} \leq (k+1)^j$. By (19), part (iii) of Lemma 1 and part (i) of Lemma 2, we can deduce that $H(f_2, x_0) \geq s(x_0) + 1$. For f_3 , we have

$$f_3(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \sum_{(*)_{n+1}} \frac{(-\log \lambda)^{kl^k} \alpha_{n+1,i_1,\dots,i_k} s_l^{(i_1)}(\xi_l) \dots s_l^{(i_k)}(\xi_l)}{\lambda^{ls_l(\xi_l)}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) (x - x_0)^{n+1}, \quad (20)$$

where $\sum_{(*)_{n+1}}$ mean the summation under the condition $i_1 + \dots + i_k = n+1$ with $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ and $\{\alpha_{n+1,i_1,\dots,i_k}\}$ are positive integers satisfying $\sum_{(*)_{n+1}} \alpha_{n+1,i_1,\dots,i_k} \leq (k+1)^{n+1}$. By (20) and part (iii) of Lemma 1, we can deduce that $H(f_3, x_0) \geq n+1$. By the estimates for f_1 , f_2 and f_3 , and (15), we can conclude that $H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$.

Next, we consider the case $s(x_0) = \infty$. Let n be a positive integer and let $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$, where f_1 , f_2 and f_3 are defined by (16), (17) and (18), respectively. But in this case, we have $H(f_1, x_0) = H(f_2, x_0) = \infty$ and $H(f_3, x_0) \geq n+1$ by part (iii) of Lemma 1 and part (i) of Lemma 2, since $\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} s_l(x_0) = \infty$. By the estimates for f_1 , f_2 and f_3 , and (15), we have $H(f, x_0) \geq n+1$. Since n is arbitrary, we can conclude that $H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$ even for $s(x_0) = \infty$. \blacksquare

In the case where s is a continuous function, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let s be a continuous function from \mathbf{R} to $(0, \infty)$ such that*

$$s(x_0) < H(s, x_0)$$

at each point x_0 in \mathbf{R} . Suppose $\lambda > 1$ and $\{\theta_l\}_{l \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \subset \mathbf{R}$ are chosen arbitrary. If we define a continuous function f by

$$f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls(x)}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l),$$

then we have

$$H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$$

at each point x_0 in \mathbf{R} .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ be fixed arbitrary and let x be a real number such that $|x - x_0| < 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls(x_0)}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{ls(x)}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls(x_0)}} \right) \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) \\ &= f_1(x) + f_2(x). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By part (ii) of Lemma 2, $H(f_1, x_0) = \beta(f_1, x_0) = s(x_0)$ follows at once. Let ε be a positive number such that $s(x_0) + \varepsilon < H(s, x_0)$ and $s(x_0) + \varepsilon \notin \mathbf{N}$. Then $s \in C^{s(x_0)+\varepsilon}(x_0)$ and there exist a polynomial P of degree at most $[s(x_0) + \varepsilon]$, two constants $C \in (0, \infty)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$s(x) = s(x_0) + P(x - x_0) + Q(x - x_0)$$

and

$$|Q(x - x_0)| \leq C|x - x_0|^{s(x_0)+\varepsilon}, \quad |x - x_0| \leq \delta.$$

To estimate f_2 , using the mean value theorem, we write

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{ls(x)}} - \frac{1}{\lambda^{ls(x_0)}} = \frac{(-\log \lambda)l(s(x) - s(x_0))}{\lambda^{l\tau_l}},$$

where $\tau_l \in [\min(s(x), s(x_0)), \max(s(x), s(x_0))]$. Then we have

$$\left| f_2(x) - \left((-\log \lambda) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{l}{\lambda^{l\tau_l}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) \right) P(x - x_0) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\log \lambda) \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{l}{\lambda^{l\tau}} \sin(\lambda^l x + \theta_l) \right| |Q(x - x_0)| \\
&\leq C(\log \lambda) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{l}{\lambda^{l\tau}} |x - x_0|^{s(x_0) + \varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $H(f_2, x_0) \geq s(x_0) + \varepsilon$. By the estimates for f_1 and f_2 , and (21), we can conclude that $H(f, x_0) = \beta(f, x_0) = s(x_0)$. ■

Corollary 1. *Each point in \mathbf{R} is a cusp singularity of the Weierstrass functions.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{W}_c and \mathcal{W}_s be the Weierstrass functions (for the definitions of \mathcal{W}_c and \mathcal{W}_s , see (1) and (2)). If we put $\lambda = b$, $s(x) = \frac{\log(\frac{1}{a})}{\log b}$ and $\theta_l = \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ or $\theta_l = 0$ for $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, then we have $H(\mathcal{W}_c, x) = \beta(\mathcal{W}_c, x) = \frac{\log(\frac{1}{a})}{\log b} = H(\mathcal{W}_s, x) = \beta(\mathcal{W}_s, x)$ at each point x in \mathbf{R} from Theorem 3. ■

Acknowledgment

The author is deeply grateful to Professor Jyunji Inoue for his valuable advice, guidance and encouragement.

References

- [1] Andersson, P. *Characterization of pointwise Hölder regularity*. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 4 (1997), no. 4, 429–443.
- [2] Daoudi, K. Lévy Véhel, J. and Meyer, Y. *Construction of continuous functions with prescribed local regularity*. Constr. Approx. 14 (1998), no. 3, 349–385.
- [3] Hardy, G. H. *Weierstrass's non-differentiable function*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1916), no. 3, 301–325.
- [4] Hernández, E. and Weiss, G. *A first course on wavelets*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996.
- [5] Meyer, Y. *Wavelets, vibrations and scalings*. CRM Monograph Series, 9. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [6] Takagi, T. *A simple example of the continuous function without derivative (1903)*. Teiji Takagi Collected papers. Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, (1990), 5–6.

- [7] Watanabe, H. *On the scaling exponents of Takagi, Lévy and Weierstrass functions.* Hokkaido Math. J. 30 (2001), no. 3, 589–604.
- [8] Weierstrass, K. *Über continuirliche functionen eines reellen arguments, die für keinen werth des letzteren einen bestimmten differentialquotienten besitzen.* Mathematische Werke II, (1895), 71–74.